

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLIC	ATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/0	73,941	02/14/2002	Maria Jose Bermejo Oses	24200	5670
205	29 75	90 02/06/2003			
NATH & ASSOCIATES 1030 15th STREET 6TH FLOOR				EXAMINER	
				HARDEE,	RDEE, JOHN R
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1751	
				DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	
					$\wp$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(1)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/073,941	OSES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T				
	John R Hardee	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom considera	ilion.					
<u> </u>							
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	'or alastian requirer						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (P' Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/073,941 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. The reissue oath is defective because it does not claim foreign priority. This should be corrected by filing an application data sheet which makes the foreign priority claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection. Applicant has argued that there is implicit basis in the examples for amending the claims to reverse the claimed ratios of i:ii:iii. The examiner disagrees. There is an obvious error, inasmuch as the data are not commensurate in scope with the original claims. However, in order to have basis for correcting an obvious error, it must be obvious what the correct recitation should be, and applicant's specification does not meet this criterion. Faced with *numerous* recitations of i:ii:iii, and data which are not commensurate in scope, it would appear to the uninitiated that applicant has simply supplied incommensurate data, as often happens, rather than that these multiple recitations should all read iii:ii:i.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,941

Art Unit: 1751

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon new matter added to the patent for which reissue is sought. The added material which is not supported by the prior patent is as follows:

Page 3

Applicant seeks to amend the claims to reverse the claimed ratios of i:ii:iii. This lacks basis in the patent for the reasons outlined in the previous paragraph.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Durbut, US 5,665,689. At col. 8, lines 14+, the reference discloses a composition comprising fully esterified and partially esterified polyhydric alcohol which is ethoxylated or propoxylated prior to esterification. The alcohol is preferably glycerol. The R groups of the ester are alkyl groups of 6-22 carbons, more preferably 11-15 carbons. The monoester/diester/triester ratio is most preferably 50-90/9-32-1-12, and the degree of ethoxylation is most preferably 4 to 19. Making such a composition according to the most preferable teachings anticipates the claim when the degree of alkoxylation equals

4.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,941

Art Unit: 1751

## Claim Rej ctions - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham'v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Durbut, US 5,665,689. The reference is summarized above. The mono/di/tri ratio in claim 2 is not disclosed with enough precision to constitute anticipation. However it

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/073,941

Art Unit: 1751

would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make a composition with the mono/di/tri ratio of claim 2, because the recited ratio falls squarely within what is taught in the reference. Claims 1 and 3 are obvious because they are anticipated.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (703) 308-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John R. Hardee Primary Examiner January 30, 2003 Page 5